If cells play dice, can we gamble our way out of cancer ?

Jorge M. Pacheco

• atpgroup

Departamento de Matemática & Aplicações Universidade do Minho Centro de Matemática & Aplicações Fundamentais <u>http://www.ciul.ul.pt/~ATP/</u>

Third Workshop Dynamical Systems Applied to Biology and Natural Science - DSABNS 2012

09-FEB-2012





Francisco C. Santos Sara Encarnação Jeromos Vukov Marta D. Santos Pedro Neves

Marcos Gaudiano Flávio Pinheiro Ana Ribeiro Vítor Vasconcelos André Almeida

http://dl.dropbox.com/u/6053055/2012-02-09-TALK.pdf

• atpgroup's collaborators



David Dingli (Mayo Clinic, USA) Arne Traulsen (MPI Plön, DE) Lucio Luzzatto (Inst. Tumori, Firenze, IT)





Tom Lenaerts (ULB, BE) Tibor Antal (U. Edinburgh, UK) Martin Nowak (Harvard, USA)

cancer

- cancer is a consequence of multicellularity
- cellular genome is under permanent attack
 (environmental or metabolic genotoxic agents) mutations
- DNA replication machinery is not perfect
- many mutations are neutral
- ◆ others → malignant tranformation → clonal development
- risk of mutation: μ rate, # cells@risk, cell-lifetime

tissue architecture

- tissue architecture has evolved
- most tissue cells have a
 Iifetime & a
 turnover
 - minimize impact of mutations
- many tissues evolved a hierarchical structure
 - ➔ tree-like structure
- At the root of the tree are the tissue-specific stem-cells
- example: hematopoiesis

**

- stem-cell concept was developed in hematopoiesis and has
 - extended to many other tissues
- tissue resilience relies on \ # & \ turnover of stem cells

hematopoietic stem cells (HSC)

self-renewal: for how long? (Hayflick hypothesis, telomere shortening)

differentiation :



stemness is a matter of degree – you have to stand at the root of the hematopoietic tree

allometric scaling of hematopoiesis in land mammals



allometric scaling of hematopoiesis in land mammals



Dingli & Pacheco, PLoS ONE, 2006

allometric scaling of hematopoiesis in land mammals

use experimental estimates for cats for calibration ($fix N_0$):

under normal conditions, ≥ 40 ! (Abkowitz et al, Blood, 2002)

what	model predictions ×	experimental data ~400 (Buescher et al, J Clin Invest, 1985)		
HSC in humans	385			
rate HSC division cat post-TRX = 8 week ⁻¹	60 week ⁻¹	~ 52-104 week ⁻¹ (Rufer, et al, J Exp Med, 1999)		
human post-transplant cat = 13	111	~ 116 (Nash et al, Blood, 1988)		
mouse	1	<mark>1</mark> (Abkowitz et al, PNAS , 1995)		
rate macaques	23 week ⁻¹	23 week⁻¹ (Shepherd et al, Blood , 2007)		
rate baboons	36 week⁻¹	36 week⁻¹ (Shepherd et al, Blood , 2007)		

Dingli & Pacheco, PLoS ONE, 2006

the hematopoietic tree

in humans ~ 400 HSC divide each once per year;

*** but** : daily output of bone marrow ~ 3.5 x 10¹¹ cells !!!

how to explain this enormous amplification given the slow replication rate of HSC ?

the hematopoietic tree

we consider a compartmentalized struture in which cells from upstream compartments flow into downstream compartments, under stationary flux conditions;



upstream

downstream Dingli, Traulsen & Pacheco, *PLoS-ONE*, 2007

the hematopoietic tree



DISEASE



trouble

normal : $10^{-7} < \mu < 10^{-6}$ per cell per replication



trouble

normal : $10^{-7} < \mu < 10^{-6}$ per cell per replication



trouble

normal : $10^{-7} < \mu < 10^{-6}$ per cell per replication



troubled hematopoiesis



cancer dynamics becomes a multi-scale ecology of cell competition

starting upstream with a small number of HSC & CSC and getting downstream into very large numbers of cells of all kinds

the mathematics of Darwinian cell selection

Dingli, Traulsen & Pacheco, *Cell Cycle*, 2007 Dingli, Traulsen & Pacheco, *PRSB* 275 (2008) 2389

stochastic dynamics of HSC

stochastic model for humans :



- SC population remains constant (400);
- HSC divide at normal rate (once per year);
- **CSC** divide at rate **r** × normal, where **r** = relative fitness ;
- when a cell divides, gives rise to two new identical cells;
- subsequently, 1 cell is randomly selected for export;
- ***** HSC may suffer *mutations* and transform into CSC.

this stochastic model is known in mathematics (& population genetics) as a Moran (birth-death) process

in each stochastic discrete event, either :

- nothing happens
- the number of cells of one of the types changes by ± 1

after N events, one time step has elapsed

example 1: 1 HSC is exported & nothing happens in SC pool

a. select 1 cell proportional to fitness

b. chosen cell replicates



c. select 1 cell at random



example 2: 1 CSC is exported & CSC-lineage gets extinct

a. select 1 cell proportional to fitness

b. chosen cell replicates



c. select 1 cell at random

9





example 3: 1 HSC is exported & CSC number increases by 1

a. select 1 cell proportional to fitness



b. chosen cell replicates





example 4: HSC mutations enter scene to make things worse

a. select 1 cell proportional to fitness



b. chosen cell replicates & mutates



c. select 1 cell at random



stochastic dynamics of *HSC*

several possible scenarios :



is the stochastic dynamics of cells an oddity ?

neutral evolution & PAROXYSMAL NOCTURNAL HEMOGLOBINURIA

Dingli, Luzzatto & Pacheco, PNAS 105 (2008) 18496



Motoo Kimura (木村 資生 Kimura Motoo, November 13, 1924 - November 13, 1994)

paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria

what is known :

- rare disease
- true stem-cell disorder since :
- it originates in the PIG-A gene of a HSC
- rate of PIG-A gene mutation is normal
- often BMF is later observed

conventional wisdom regarding disease development :

Dingli, Pacheco & Traulsen, Physical Review E77 (2008) 021915

★ relative fitness advantage of PNH cells due to an imunne attack to normal HSC → disease expansion

model features

disease development

- ✤ use N_{sc} = 400
- simulate HSC activity in virtual USA (10⁹ virtual Americans)
- use normal mutation rate for HSC PNH transformation
- assume neutral drift (r=1) between HSC & PNH cells
- fold data with CENSUS 2000 for USA population
- compare results with incidence data in USA

results



results above & other results suggest that it is not necessary to invoke a relative fitness difference to explain incidence of PNH



neutral evolution relies on the stochastic nature of cell behavior, & PNH shows us that, likely, many individuals suffer the PIG-A mutation but are never diagnosed PNH, as it is more likely for the mutant to become extinct than to evolve into a clone. This, in turn, suggests that the current way of approaching the (now over) 40-year old war-on-cancer, that is,

cure = kill-every-single-cancer-cell

is perhaps not always the best; in fact, sometimes it maybe even unnecessary.

progenitor driven CHRONIC MYELOID LEUKEMIA

Dingli, Traulsen, Lenaerts & Pacheco,

Clinical Leukemia 2 (2008) 133 BioEssays 32 (2010) 1003 Cancer Letters 294 (2010) 43 Genes and Cancer 1, 4 (2010) 309-315 Haematologica 95 (2010) 900-907 BMC Biology 9(2011) 41 Cell Cycle 10 (2011) 1540 PLoS-CB 7 (2011) e1002290

Chronic Myeloid Leukemia

what is known :

- Hematopoietic stem cell disorder
- Initial event: Philadelphia chromosome
- *** ?** HSC are enough to drive chronic phase ?
- clonal expansion and myeloproliferation
- stem cell derived but progenitor cell driven
- *abl*-kinase inhibitors very effective

CML dynamics

Q-RT-PCR data from patients treated with *imatinib*

- 2 data sets available
 - Michor et al, Nature, 2005
 - Roeder et al, Nature Medicine, 2006
 - other data recently available for *nilotinib*
- data fitting

model features

- disease development
- use existing model of hematopoiesis
- how to get from HSC origin to progenitor driven disease ?
- \Leftrightarrow bone marrow expansion $\rightarrow ε_{CML} < ε_0$

treatment

- how does *imatinib* work ?
- does *imatinib* induce cell death?
- how many cells are responding to *imatinib* ?

model constraints

disease development

- time from initial insult to diagnosis is 3.5 6 years
- progenitor cell expansion >14%
- total number of active HSC is not increased
- daily bone marrow output is ~ 3 x normal

treatment

- *imatinib* leads to $\varepsilon_{IMAT} > \varepsilon_0 > \varepsilon_{CML}$
- imatinib does not affect HSC
- At any time a fraction z of cells responds to imatinib

CML dynamics under *imatinib*



results



Dingli, Traulsen & Pacheco, Clinical Leukemia 2 (2008) 133

features

up to now, the # HSC driving the disease is constant in time & their dynamics is deterministic; when treatment is stopped, treated cells *wake up* and relapse is much faster than normal disease progression



Dingli, Traulsen & Pacheco, Clinical Leukemia 2 (2008) 133

features



Dingli, Traulsen & Pacheco, Clinical Leukemia 2 (2008) 133

features of CML

- CML is driven by a small number of neoplastic stem cells
- *imatinib* reduces the fitness of the neoplastic cells
- many CML progenitors persist
- only a fraction of CML cells are responding to therapy at any time
- relapse is driven by CML progenitors not just HSC

but :

hematopoiesis is sochastic in nature, hence

what is the impact of stochastic effects on CML dynamics ?

... stochastic dynamics of 10¹² cells is unfeasible



Tom Lenaerts et al. (Haematologica 95 (2010) 900-907)

in 84% of individuals, CSC population goes extint before diagnosis in 16% of individuals, CSC population grows, on average, 1 per year



in 84% of individuals, CSC population goes extint before diagnosis in 16% of individuals, CSC population grows, on average, 1 per year



Tom Lenaerts et al. (Haematologica 95 (2010) 900-907)



Tom Lenaerts et al. (Haematologica 95 (2010) 900-907)



despite *NOT* affecting directly CSC, *imatinib* + natural selection can cure the majority of CML patients *ongoing*: development of *resistance mutations* . . .

treatment with TKI-inhibitors helps an individual to stay alive and live his eveyday life while natural selection helps him getting rid of the cause of the disease; however, it takes years for one to gamble his way out of cancer.



cancer ecology & CML treatments

Lenaerts et al., Cell Cycle 10 (2011) 1540-1544

different therapeutic power of TKI-inhibitors

- CML can be successfully treated with different TKI-inhibitors
- ***** *imatinib* & *nilotinib* lead to distinct disease progression curves



however, in-vitro studies show no apparent difference between imatinib & nilotinib

what's going on ?

in-vitro studies do not incorporate the ecology of cell competition that occurs in-vivo.



imatinib 🛞 nilotinib



imatinib 🛞 nilotinib



imatinib ⊗ nilotinib



conclusions

- hematopoiesis results from the slow replication of a limited number of active stem-cells which scales allometrically with the mass of an adult mammal as N_{sc} ~ M^{3/4}
- the small number of HSC together wih their slow replication rate protect hematopoiesis from long-term trouble.
- the paradigm of stochastic behaviour neutral evolution suggests that PNH does not result from any 2nd mutation or immune system attack – it results from the unlikely event of a rare-mutation in a small population at a normal mutation rate.
- stochastic effects have measurable consequences in disease progression, and lead to variabilities in the time to progression of stem-cell derived diseases; in CML, and in the absence of resistance mutations, stochastic effects let TKI-inhibitors cure most patients.
- * such a broad vision of hematopoiesis paves the way to study blood diseases (e.g., PNH, CML, CN) as well as the detailed microscopic description of response to therapies, such as those associated with TKI-inhibitors (imatinib, nilotinib, dasatinib, etc.) not to mention studying the development of mutations which are resistant to treatment (ongoing ...)



selected references

allometric scaling of hematopoiesis

Allometric scaling of the hematopoietic stem cell pool accross mammals David Dingli, J. M. Pacheco PLoS ONE 1 (2006) e2

Acquired hematopoietic stem cell disorders and mammalian size J. Viana Lopes, Jorge M. Pacheco, David Dingli Blood 110 (2007) 4120-4122.

Dynamics of Hematpoiesis across Mammals David Dingli, Arne Traulsen, Jorge M. Pacheco Proceedings Royal Society B275 (2008) 2389-2392

The allometry of chronic myeloid leukemia Jorge M. Pacheco, Arne Traulsen, David Dingli Journal of Theoretical Biology 259 (2009) 635-640 **Compartmental Architecture and Dynamics of Hematopoiesis** David Dingli, Arne Traulsen, J. M. Pacheco PLoS ONE 2(4) (2007) e345

Ontogenic growth of the haematopoietic stem cell pool in humans David Dingli, J. M. Pacheco, Proceedings Royal Society B274 (2007) 2479

Dynamics of Hematpoiesis across Mammals David Dingli, Arne Traulsen, Jorge M. Pacheco Proceedings Royal Society B275 (2008) 2389-2392

Cyclic neutropenia in mammals

Jorge M. Pacheco, Arne Traulsen, Tibor Antal, David Dingli American Journal of Hematology 83 (2008) 920

selected references

CML

Tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy can cure chronic myeloid leukemia without hitting leukemic stem cells

Tom Lenaerts, Jorge M. Pacheco, Arne Traulsen, David Dingli Haematologica 2009 (accepted)

The allometry of chronic myeloid leukemia

Jorge M. Pacheco, Arne Traulsen, David Dingli Journal of Theoretical Biology 259 (2009) 635-640

Chronic Myeloid Leukemia: Origin, Development, Response To Therapy, And Relapse David Dingli, Arne Traulsen, Jorge M. Pacheco Clinical Leukemia 2 (2008) 133-139

Tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy can cure chronic myeloid leukemia without hitting leukemic stem cells

Tom Lenaerts, Jorge M. Pacheco, Arne Traulsen, David Dingli Haematologica 95 (2010) 900-907

Reproductive fitness advantage of BCR–ABL expressing leukemia cells Arne Traulsen, Jorge M. Pacheco, David Dingli Cancer Letters 294 (2010) 43-48 Somatic mutations and the hierarchy of hematopoiesis Arne Traulsen, Jorge M. Pacheco, Lucio Luzzatto,David Dingli BioEssays 32 (2010) 1003-1008

Evolutionary Dynamics of Chronic Myeloid Leukemia

David Dingli, Arne Traulsen, Tom Lenaerts, Jorge M. Pacheco Genes and Cancer 1, 4 (2010) 309-315

Stochastic dynamics and the evolution of mutations in stem cells David Dingli and Jorge M. Pacheco

BMC Biology 9 (2011) 41 Explaining the in vivo and in vitro differences in leukemia therapy T. Lenaerts, F. Castagnetti, A. Traulsen, J.M. Pacheco, G. Rosti & D. Dingli Cell Cycle 10 (2011) 1540-1544

Evolutionary Dynamics of Mutations in Hematopoietic Stem Cells and Beyond

David Dingli, Arne Traulsen and Jorge M. Pacheco Stem Cells and Cancer Stem Cells, Volume 3, Chapter 11 (2012, accepted)(Springer Science)

Dynamics of mutant cells in hierarchical organized tissues

Benjamin Werner, David Dingli, Tom Lenaerts, Jorge M. Pacheco, Arne Traulsen PLoS-Computational Biology 7 (2011) e1002290

selected references

cyclic neutropenia

Cyclic neutropenia in mammals

Jorge M. Pacheco, Arne Traulsen, Tibor Antal, David Dingli American Journal of Hematology 83 (2008) 920

multiple myeloma

Cancer phenotype as the outcome of an evolutionary game between normal and malignant cells David Dingli, F. A. Chalub, F. C. Santos, S. Van Segbroeck, Jorge M. Pacheco British Journal of Cancer (2009) (accepted)

Single versus multiple transplants for multiple myeloma: Insights from in vivo and in silico studies David Dingli, J. M. Pacheco, M. A. Gertz, Blood 108 (2006) 458.

In vivo and in silico studies on single versus multiple transplants for multiple myeloma

David Dingli, J. M. Pacheco, Angela Dispenzieri, Suzanne Hayman, Shaji Kumar, Martha Lacy, Dennis Gastineau, Morie Gertz Cancer Science 98 (5) (2007) 734–739

Progenitor Cell Self-renewal and Cyclic Neutropenia David Dingli, Tibor Antal, Arne Traulsen, Jorge M. Pacheco Cell Proliferation 42 (2009) xxxx-xxxx

Depth of response and outcome in multiple myeloma

David Dingli, J. M. Pacheco, Grzegorz S. Nowakowski, Shaji Kumar, Angela Dispenzieri, Suzanne Hayman, Martha Lacy, Dennis Gastineau, Morie Gertz Journal of Clinical Oncology 25 (2007) 4933-4937

The serum M-spike and transplant outcome in patients with multiple myeloma

David Dingli, J. M. Pacheco, Angela Dispenzieri, Suzanne Hayman, Shaji Kumar, Martha Lacy, Dennis Gastineau, Morie Gertz Cancer Science 98 (7) (2007) 1035–1040

Neutral evolution and the second seco

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (USA) 105 (2008) 18496

Arne Traulsen, J. M. Pacheco, David Dingli Stem Cells 25 (2007) 3081-3084

scaling considerations

Dingli, Traulsen & Pacheco, PRSB 275 (2008) 2389

scaling relations so-far . . .

number of HSC in adult mamals :

$$N_{sc} \approx 16.55 M^{3/4}$$

 $N_{sc} \approx 5.5 m(t)$

number of HSC during human ontogeny :

***** specific basal metabolic rate :

$$B_c \approx 2.9 \, M^{-1/4}$$
 (year¹)

* average life-span :

$$Lpprox 8.6\,M^{1/4}$$
 (year

([M] = kg)

implications . . .

Hayflick hypothesis (1961):

cells undergo a limited number of divisions during their lifespan

from the scaling relations, each cell divides

$$N \sim rate \times lifespan \sim M^{-1/4} \times M^{1/4} \sim M^{0}$$

that is, constant & independent of the mammalian species :

a mouse-HSC and an elephant-HSC replicate, on average, the same number of times during the ~2-year and the ~70-year lifespans of the mouse and elephant, respectively; humans are the exception, as we live much longer than lifespan estimate.

scaling across mammals

in CYCLIC NEUTROPENIA

Dingli, Antal, Traulsen & Pacheco, Cell Proliferation (in press) Pacheco, Traulsen, Antal & Dingli, American Journal of Hematology, 83 (2008) 920

cyclic neutropenia

features

Dingli, Antal, Traulsen & Pacheco, Cell Proliferation (in press) Pacheco, Traulsen, Antal & Dingli, American Journal of Hematology, 83 (2008) 920

- rare congenital disorder
- oscillations of neutrophil count *model*
- biological defect is the same in mammals
- architecture of hematopoiesis is invariant across mammals
- Allometric scaling should relate period of oscillations results :



	~	\sim	7	>	
species	mouse	macaque	dog	baboon	human
mass (<i>kg</i>)	0.025	5	13	40	70
period (<i>days</i>)	3	10-11	14	17-18	19-21
sampling period (hours)	<18	63	84	105	120

cyclic neutropenia

our model predicts a period of ~3 days for CN in mice this is a direct consequence of metabolic rate of mice does CN occur in mice ?

Grenda *et al.* Blood 100 (2002) 3221–3228

"Mice expressing a neutrophil elastase mutation derived from patients with severe congenital neutropenia have normal granulopoiesis"

there is a study on mice which claims there is no CN
is that true ?
what did they do ?
they measured neutrophil count every week . . .

because sampling period is a multiple
 of CN period, they ever observe oscillations



stochastic protection THE MOST ROBUST MAMMAL

protection : the *best* of mammals



combine allometric scaling with stochastic dynamics to determine the mammal which is best protected against acquired hematopoietic stem-cell disorders.

scaling of lifespan:
$$L \sim M^{1/4}$$

mass specific metabolic rate : $B_c \sim M^{-1/4}$
size of active SC pool : $N_{SC} \sim M^{3/4}$
prob. mutation HSC \rightarrow CSC : $\mu \sim 10^{-6}_{\rm p/replication}$

protection : the *best* of mammals

- r is very difficult to determine experimentally; unfortunately, it is consensual that, in general, r is large (>1.5);
- * when r ~ 1, large mamals are more protected than small mammals;
- * when r > 1.3, small mammals are more protected, since the probability for the organism to acquire cancer mutations is minimized;
- ☆ a small active HSC pool minimizes the risk of mutations; once mutations occur, the path to full blown disease opens up easily (whenever r >1).



r =1.05 : prob(mouse) = prob(M=18 kg)
r =1.10 : prob(mouse) = prob(M=125 kg)
r =1.15 : prob(mouse) = prob(M=870 kg)
r =1.20 : prob(mouse) = prob(M=5800 kg)

Lopes, Dingli, & Pacheco, Blood 110 (2007) 4120 - 4122